## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Inline Connection Corporation,

Case 1:05-cv-00866-JJF

Plaintiff,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

Civil Action No. 05-866 (JJF)

Verizon Internet Services, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

## MOTION OF THE VERIZON DEFENDANTS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT DISMISSING THIS ACTION FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

COMES NOW the Verizon defendants, by and through their counsel, and move the Court for entry of summary judgment in defendants' favor in the above-captioned action, pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for the reasons stated in the Verizon defendants' Opening Brief in support of this Motion.

WHEREFORE, the Verizon defendants respectfully request the Court to grant their Motion for Summary Judgment, to enter judgment in the Verizon defendants' favor and against the Plaintiff dismissing the above-captioned action with prejudice for lack of

481625-1

Verizon Internet Services, Inc., GTE.Net LLC, Verizon Services Corp., Telesector Resources Group, Inc., Verizon Corporate Services Group, Inc., Verizon Advanced Data, Inc., Verizon Avenue Corp., Verizon Delaware, Inc., and GTE Southwest, Inc. *d/b/a* Verizon Southwest.

subject matter jurisdiction, and to grant the Verizon defendants such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 11, 2006 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

OF COUNSEL: John B. Wyss Kevin P. Anderson WILEY REIN & FIELDING LLP 1776 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: 202.719.7000

Facsimile: 202.719.7049

By: /s/ Jeffrey B. Bove
Jeffrey B. Bove (#998)
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
The Nemours Building
1007 North Orange Street, Suite 878
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: 302.658.9141
Facsimile: 302.658.5614

Counsel for Verizon Defendants

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

	٦
Inline Connection Corporation,	
Plaintiff,	
V.	Civil Action No. 05-866 (JJF)
Verizon Internet Services, Inc., et al.,	
Defendants.	
<u>ORDER</u>	
Whereas the Court, having considered the submissions and arguments of the	
parties,	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Verizon	
defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Dismissing this Action for Lack of Subject	
Matter Jurisdiction is GRANTED for the reasons set forth in the Verizon defendants'	
moving papers.	
	EDIT I FADNIANT ID
	EPH J. FARNAN, JR.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 11th day of August, 2006 a true copy of the Motion of the Verizon Defendants For Summary Judgment Dismissing This Action For Lack Of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, was sent to plaintiff's counsel, in the manner indicated, upon:

(Served electronically & by overnight delivery) Michael K. Plimack, Esq. Alexander L. Brainerd, Esq. HELLER EHRMAN LLP 333 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104 Alexander.Brainerd@hellerehrman.com Michael.Plimack@hellerehrman.com

(Served electronically & by overnight delivery) C. Joël Van Over, Esq. BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP 3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116 joel.vanover@bingham.com

(Served by hand delivery) Julia Heaney, Esq. MORRIS NICHOLS ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP 1201 N. Market Street Post Office Box 1347 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1347 ihefiling@mnat.com

> By: /s/ *Jeffrey B. Bove* Jeffrey B. Bove (#998)